# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

HEATHER SPURLOCK, & SOPHIA CARRASCO.

Plaintiff,

v. CV 09-786 WPJ/DJS

ANTHONY TOWNES & BARBARA WAGNER in their individual capacities, & CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

# MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND ADD PARTY PLAINTIFF

Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys of record, Kennedy & Han, P.C., hereby submit their Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint and Add Party Plaintiff, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a). As grounds for their Motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

# INTRODUCTION

On August 12, 2009, Plaintiffs Heather Spurlock and Sophia Carrasco filed a Complaint in the above captioned matter, alleging that all named Defendants violated Plaintiffs' constitutional rights under the Eighth and Thirteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, based on Defendant Townes's sexual assaults against them while they were incarcerated in the Camino Nuevo corrections facility in Albuquerque, New Mexico. [Dock. No. 1]. Additionally, Plaintiffs alleged claims against Defendants Corrections Corporation of America and Townes based on their commission of intentional torts upon Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs further alleged claims against Defendants Wagner and Corrections Corporation of America

based on negligent training, supervision, retention and management.

On August 25, 2009, Defendant Corrections Corporation of America filed its Answer [Dock. No. 6]. On September 25, Counsel filed their appearance on behalf of Defendant Anthony Townes, [Dock. No. 12], who, representing himself *in propria persona*, had previously answered Plaintiffs' complaint via a letter sent to Plaintiffs' counsel. [Exhibit 1]. On October 5, 2009, Defendant Wagner filed her Answer [Dock. No. 13].

Plaintiffs' counsel has been retained by a third former inmate at Camino Nuevo, Nina Carrera, whose allegations mirror those of the currently named Plaintiffs, and whose allegations further involve the Defendants currently named in this case. Plaintiff has consulted with all Defendants regarding the current Motion, and all Defendants have given their written consent to this Motion. In giving their consent to Plaintiffs' Motion, however, Defendants Wagner and Corrections Corporation of America do not waive any defenses that they may have to Plaintiffs' claims, including statute of limitations.

# **ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES**

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure state that "a party may amend the party's pleading only by leave of the court or by written consent of an adverse party; and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a). "In the absence of any apparent or declared reason, such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies for amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment, etc., the leave sought, should, as the rules require, be freely given." *Forman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962). "The purpose of [rule 15] is to provide litigants the maximum opportunity for each claim to be decided on the merits rather than on procedural niceties." *Minter v. Prime Equipment Co.*, 45 F.3d 1196,

1204 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006). Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2). In the 10<sup>th</sup> Circuit, courts are encouraged to freely

grant such motions, unless doing so would result in unfair prejudice to a non-moving party. See,

Rowe v. Albertson's Inc., 116 Fed. Appx. 171, 176 (10th Cir. 2004); Thompson v. Colorado 60

Fed. Appx. 212, 215 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003).

The addition of Ms. Carrera as a named Plaintiff will not create any unfair prejudice to

any of the current defendants. All have been served and are represented by counsel. There has

been no discovery in this matter; there have been no initial disclosures; and no scheduling

conference is currently scheduled. The addition of Ms. Carrrera will conserve judicial resources

by allowing the claims to be litigated together rather than requiring multiple lawsuits and

repeated motions, conferences, and trials. Furthermore, all Defendants have given their written

consent to this Motion.

**CONCLUSION** 

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs hereby request that this Court exercise its discretion

and grant Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint and Add Party Plaintiff and for any

and all such other relief that this Court deems just and proper. Plaintiff has attached the

proposed Amended Complaint as Exhibit 2 to this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

KENNEDY & HAN, P.C.

/s/ Darin M. Foster\_

MARY Y.C. HAN

DARIN M. FOSTER

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

201 12<sup>th</sup> Street NW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

Telephone: 505-842-8662

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint and Add Party Plaintiff was electronically mailed via the New Mexico District Court's electronic filing system on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009, to the following counsel:

Aaron C. Viets
Rodey, Dickason, Sloan, Akin & Robb, P.A.
Attorneys for Corrrections Corporation of America
and Barbara Wagner
P.O. Box 1888
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-1888
Telephone: 505-765-5900

Daniel P. Struck
Christina Retts
Jones, Skelton & Hochuli, PLC
Attorneys for Corrrections Corporation of America
and Barbara Wagner
2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 800
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: 602-263-1733

Michael S. Jahner Yenson, Lynn, Allen & Wosick, P.C. Attorneys for Anthony Townes 4908 Alameda Blvd. N.E. Albuquerque, N.M. 87113-1736

Telephone: 505-266-3995

/s/Darin Foster
Darin M. Foster